IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

REALTIME DATA LLC d/b/a IXO,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 6:15-cv-463-RWS-JDL

LEAD CASE

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

v.

ACTIAN CORPORATION et al.,

Defendants.

REALTIME DATA LLC d/b/a IXO,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAP AMERICA INC. et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 6:15-cv-469-RWS-JDL

MEMBER CASE

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

REALTIME DATA LLC d/b/a IXO'S ANSWER TO DELL, INC.'S COUNTERCLAIMS

Plaintiff-Counterdefendant, Realtime Data LLC d/b/a IXO ("Realtime") answers Counterclaims for Declaratory Judgment of Noninfringement and Invalidity of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,378,992 ("the '992 Patent"); 7,415,530 ("the '530 Patent"); 8,643,513 ("the '513 Patent"); 6,597,812 ("the '812 Patent"); and 9,116,908 ("the '908 Patent"), collectively (the "Asserted Patents" or "Patents-in-Suit") of Defendant-Counterclaimant Dell, Inc. ("Dell" or "Defendant") as follows:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Admitted.
- 2. Admitted.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. Admitted.

<u>COUNT I – DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF NON-INFRINGEMENT OF THE</u> PATENTS-IN-SUIT

- 4. Realtime incorporates by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1-3 of this Answer to Defendant's Counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.
 - 5. Admitted.
- 6. Realtime admits that Defendant denies Realtime's allegations of infringement of the Patents-in-Suit. Otherwise, Realtime denies the allegations in paragraph 6.
- 7. Realtime admits that a justiciable controversy exists between Defendant and Realtime as to whether Defendant infringes any claims of the Patents-in-Suit. Otherwise, Realtime denies the allegations in paragraph 7.
- 8. Realtime admits that Defendant has requested a declaration of non-infringement of any valid claim of the Patents-in-Suit. Otherwise, Realtime denies the allegations in paragraph 8.

COUNT II – DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF INVALIDITY OF THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT

- 9. Realtime incorporates by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1-8 of this Answer to Defendant's Counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.
 - 10. Admitted.
- 11. Realtime admits that Defendant contends that one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit are invalid. Otherwise, Realtime denies the allegations in paragraph 11.

- 12. Realtime admits that a justiciable controversy exists between Defendant and Realtime as to whether any claims of the Patents-in-Suit are invalid. Otherwise, Realtime denies the allegations in paragraph 12.
- 13. Realtime admits that Defendant has requested a declaration of invalidity of the Patents-in-Suit. Otherwise, Realtime denies the allegations in paragraph 13.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

In response to Dell's Prayer for Relief, Realtime denies that Dell is entitled to any relief, and in particular to any of the relief requested in paragraphs (A)-(E) of Dell's Prayer for Relief, and further requests that the Court enter such preliminary and final orders and judgments as are necessary to provide Realtime with the following requested relief:

- a. A Judgment in favor of Realtime on Defendant's Counterclaims that the Asserted Patents are not invalid are enforceable, and that Dell infringes the '992 Patent, the '530 Patent, the '513 Patent, the '812 Patent and the '908 Patent;
 - b. An Order dismissing Defendant's Counterclaims in their entirety with prejudice;
- c. A judgment in favor of Plaintiff that Dell has infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '992 Patent, the '530 Patent, the '513 Patent, the '812 Patent and the '908 Patent;
- d. A permanent injunction prohibiting Dell from further acts of infringement of the '992 Patent, the '530 Patent, the '513 Patent, the '812 Patent and the '908 Patent;
- e. A judgment and order requiring Dell to pay Plaintiff its damages, costs, expenses, and prejudgment and post-judgment interest for its infringement of the '992 Patent, the '530 Patent, the '513 Patent, the '812 Patent and the '908 Patent, as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284;

f. A judgment and order requiring Defendant to provide an accounting and to pay supplemental damages to Realtime, including without limitation, prejudgment and post-judgment interest;

g. A judgment and order finding that this is an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding to Plaintiff its reasonable attorneys' fees against Defendant; and

h. Any and all other relief as the Court may deem appropriate and just under the circumstances.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule CV-38, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

Dated: February 25, 2016 Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/ Marc A. Fenster by permission Claire

Abernathy Henry

Marc A. Fenster (CA SBN 181067)

LEAD ATTORNEY

Reza Mirzaie (CA SBN 246953)

Brian D. Ledahl (CA SBN 186579)

Jeffrey Z.Y. Liao (CA SBN 288994)

C. Jay Chung (CA SBN 252794)

RUSS AUGUST & KABAT

12424 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90025

(310) 826-7474

mfenster@raklaw.com

rmirzaie@raklaw.com

bledahl@raklaw.com

iliao@raklaw.com

jchung@raklaw.com

T. John Ward, Jr.
Texas State Bar No. 00794818
E-mail: jw@wsfirm.com
Claire Abernathy Henry
Texas State Bar No. 24053063
E-mail: claire@wsfirm.com
WARD, SMITH & HILL, PLLC
1127 Judson Road, Ste 220
Longview, Texas 75601
(903) 757-6400 (telephone)
(903) 757-2323 (facsimile)

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Realtime Data LLC d/b/a IXO

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). Therefore, this document was served on all counsel who are deemed to have consented to electronic service. Local Rule CV-5(a)(3)(A). Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(d) and Local Rule CV-5(d) and (e), all other counsel of record not deemed to have consented to electronic service were served with a true and correct copy of the foregoing by email on this 25th day of February, 2016.

/s/ Claire Abernathy Henry
Claire Abernathy Henry